IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143 Honorable T.S. Ellis, III Hearing: June 11, 2021 Trial: July 12, 2021

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE

I. INTRODUCTION

Zackary Ellis Sanders, by counsel, moves this Honorable Court, pursuant to Local Crim. R. 49, for entry of an Order permitting portions of his Response to the government's Reply to his Opposition to the government's Motion to exclude evidence at trial to be filed under seal. Sealing is necessary because portions discuss confidential medical information.

II. ARGUMENT

Portions of the response should be sealed because it contains confidential medical information that the public would not, under any other circumstances, be entitled to see. It is true that judicial proceedings are generally open to the public and that there exists, while not a First Amendment right, a common law right of public access to judicial records and documents. *Media Gen. Operations, Inc. v. Buchanan*, 417 F.3d 424, 429 (4th Cir. 2005) (citing *Balt. Sun Co. v. Goetz*, 886 F.2d 60, 64-65 (4th Cir. 1989)). The presumption of the right of access can be rebutted if countervailing interests heavily outweigh the public interests in access. *Virginia Dep't of State Police v. Washington Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004). The party seeking to overcome the presumption bears the burden of showing some significant interest that outweighs the presumption.

Id. at 575. Ultimately the decision to seal is a matter best left to the sound discretion of the district court. *Washington Post*, 386 F.3d at 575. With respect to medical records in particular, the Eastern District of Virginia has previously held that such records are entitled to privacy protection and may be filed under seal. *James v. Service Source, Inc.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86169 at *12 (Nov. 21, 2007 E.D. Va.).

Counsel will provide the government and this Court separate unredacted versions of Defendant's pleading.

III. CONCLUSION

Defendant respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order providing that portions of Defendant's Response shall be permanently filed under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS By Counsel

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Nina J. Ginsberg (#19472)

Zachary Deubler (#90669)

DiMuroGinsberg, P.C.

1101 King Street, Suite 610

Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: (703) 684-4333 Facsimile: (703) 548-3181

Email: nginsberg@dimuro.com
Email: zdeubler@dimuro.com

/<u>s</u>/

Jonathan Jeffress (#42884)

Jade Chong-Smith (admitted pro hac vice)

KaiserDillon PLLC

1099 Fourteenth St., N.W.; 8th Floor—West

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 683-6150 Facsimile: (202) 280-1034

Email: jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com Email: jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com

/S/

Mark J. Mahoney (admitted pro hac vice)

Harrington & Mahoney

70 Niagara Street, 3rd Floor

Buffalo, New York 14202-3407

Tel.: 716-853-3700 Facsimile: 716-853-3710

Email: mjm@harringtonmahoney.com

Counsel for Defendant Zackary Ellis Sanders

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served this 11th day of June 2021, on all counsel of record.

/s/ Jonathan Jeffress
Jonathan Jeffress